

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,817	03/31/2004		Patrick J. Gibbons	P00870-US-00 (11049.0013)	5036
31835	7590	07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
RUSSELL	E. FOW	LER, II	COLLINS, DOLORES R		
ICE MILLE	R	•			
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE, BOX 82001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0002				3711	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, <u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,817	GIBBONS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dolores R. Collins	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	<u>//arch 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	ı .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
	cicolion requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	• •	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		ication No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·
application from the International Burea	•	· ·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.
Attachmant(s)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 are drawn to a set of Playing Cards, classified in class 273, subclass 293.
- II. Claims 14-29, drawn to A Method Of Playing a Card Game, classified in class 273, subclass 292. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of using the product, i.e., game play can be practices using another materially different product.

Subsequent to the election of one of Group I and II, applicant is further required to select from one of the following species since this application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 14-22 are drawn to Species I

• Claims 23-29 are drawn to Species II

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Greg Vidovich* can be reached on *(571) 272-4415*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *703-872-9306*.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,817

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/8/05

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5